
Stroke Scribe Serial !!TOP!!



We conducted a post hoc analysis of the list types to determine whether participants in the Stroke Scribe group made fewer errors on the alternating list types. There was a significant difference between the pure list type and the alternating list type ($F p = .005$). The Stroke Scribe group made more errors in the pure list than they did in the alternating list, contrary to the findings for the stroke group, consistent with evidence that these two groups of participants differed in their speed of processing in auditory perception (Hunter et al., 2015). The Stroke Scribe group made more errors overall in the pure list (mean score.86) than in the alternating list (mean score.73, $t(16) = 2.06$, $p = .05$). This difference was significant. To determine whether the Stroke Scribes errors were being made in the alternating list because they were over-recounting, we examined the proportion of repeated responses. The Stroke Scribe group made more repeated errors than did the stroke group (mean score.1 versus. 0, $t(18.6) = 4.95$, p

